COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF DOWNSTREAM, INC. FOR AN ADJUSTMENT OF RATES PURSUANT TO THE ALTERNATIVE RATE FILING PROCEDURE FOR SMALL UTILITIES

CASE NO. 95-240

ORDER

On June 19, 1995, Downstream, Inc. ("Downstream") filed its application for Commission approval of proposed sewer rates. Commission Staff, having performed a limited financial review of Downstream's operations, has prepared the attached Staff Report containing Staff's findings and recommendations regarding the proposed rates. All parties should review the report carefully and provide any written comments or requests for a hearing or informal conference no later than 15 days from the date of this Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that all parties shall have no more than 15 days from the date of this Order to provide written comments regarding the attached Staff Report or requests for a hearing or informal conference. If no request for a hearing or informal conference is received, this case will be submitted to the Commission for a decision.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 6th day of October, 1995.

ATTEST:

Executive Director

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For the Commission

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF DOWNSTREAM,)
INC. FOR A RATE ADJUSTMENT)
PURSUANT TO THE ALTERNATIVE) CASE NO. 95-240
RATE FILING PROCEDURE FOR)
SMALL UTILITIES)

STAFF REPORT

Prepared By: Mark C. Frost Public Utility Financial Analyst, Chief Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch Financial Analysis Division

Prepared By: John Geoghegan Public Utility Rate Analyst, Chief Communications, Water and Sewer Rate Design Branch Rates and Research Division

STAFF REPORT

ON

DOWNSTREAM, INC.

CASE NO. 95-240

On June 19, 1995 Downstream, Inc. ("Downstream") filed its application seeking to increase its rates pursuant to 807 KAR 5:076, the Alternative Rate Adjustment Procedure for Small Utilities ("ARF"). In order to evaluate the requested increase, the Commission Staff ("Staff") performed a limited financial review of Downstream's test-period operations for the calendar year ending December 31, 1994.

The scope of the review was limited to obtaining information to determine whether the test-period operating revenues and expenses were representative of normal operations. Insignificant or immaterial discrepancies were not pursued and are not addressed herein.

Mark Frost of the Commission's Division of Financial Analysis performed the limited review on August 22, 1995. Mr. Frost is responsible for the preparation of this Staff Report except for the determination of Normalized Operating Revenue; Rate Design; and Attachments E and F, which were prepared by John Geoghegan of the Commission's Division of Rates and Research.

The ARF regulation requires a utility to use its most recent Annual Report as the basis for determining the reasonableness of the proposed rates. Downstream identified the 1994 Annual Report as being its most recent report, but mistakenly used the 1993 Annual Report data as the basis for its pro forma adjustments. As

Staff Report PSC Case No. 95-240 Page 2 of 3.

required by the regulation, Staff used the 1994 financial information in its review.

A comparison of Downstream's actual 1994 operations and proforma operations is shown in Attachment A. Based upon Staff's recommendations, Downstream's operating statement would appear as set forth in Attachment B.

Downstream requested additional revenues of \$1,227. Since Downstream's proposed rates will produce a negative cash flow of \$564, as computed in Attachment C, Downstream's proposed rates should be denied. To eliminate the negative cash flow, Staff recommends that Downstream be allowed to increase its operating revenues by \$1,791, as shown in Attachment D.

Based on Staff's adjusted operations and the 88 percent operating ratio normally allowed by this Commission, Downstream could justify additional revenues of \$8,830, as shown in Attachment D. If Downstream chooses to amend its application to reflect rates that will generate additional revenue of \$8,830, Downstream should do so when filing comments to the Staff Report.

Because the rates recommended by Staff differ from those previously noticed to customers, Downstream should re-notice its customers of these recommended rates. Customer re-notification should also be made if Downstream requests the rates that produce the \$8,830 increase which Downstream could justify.

Staff Report PSC Case No. 95-240 Page 3 of 3.

The rates contained in Attachment E will produce Staff's recommended revenue increase of \$1,791. Those contained in Attachment F will produce the revenue increase of \$8,830.

Signatures

Prepared By: Mark C. Frost Public Utility Financial Analyst, Chief Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch Financial Analysis Division

Prepared By: John Geoghegan
Public Utility Rate
Analyst, Chief
Communications, Water and
Sewer Rate Design Branch
Rates and Research Division

ATTACHMENT B STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 95-240 STAFF'S RECOMMENDED PRO FORMA OPERATIONS

	1994 Annual Report	Pro Forma Adjustments	Foot- note Ref	Pro Forma Operations
Operating Revenue:				000144992200444444
Flat Rate Residential	\$5,900	\$232	Α	\$6,132
Operating Expenses:				
Operation & Maint. Expenses:				
Other - Labor, Mat'ls., & Exp. Fuel & Power	\$206 4.440	\$0 (415)		\$206 4.205
Routine Maintenance Fee	1,410 2,730	(115) (390)	B C	1,295 2,340
Maint. Treatment & Disposal	3,318	(1,645)	Ď	1,671
Office Supplies & Other Exp.	278	(.,,5)	_	278
Outside Services	1,098	0		1,098
Insurance	1,333	(547)	E	786
Miscellaneous General	10	0		10
Total Operation & Maint, Exp	\$10,379	(\$2,697)		\$7,682
Depreciation Expense	4,721	176	F	4.897
Taxes Other Than Income Tax	241	0	·	241
Total Operating Expenses	\$15,341	(\$2,521)		\$12,820
Net Operating Income	(\$9,441)	\$2,753		(\$6,688)

A. Operating Revenue:

Revenue normalization using the current tariffed rate & end of test period customer level.

Current Rate Multiplied by: End of Period Customer Level	\$21.30 24
Average Monthly Collections	\$511
Multiplied by: 12 - Months	12

Normalized Revenue from Rates	\$6,132
Less: Reported Revenue from Rates	5,900

Staff's Recommended Adjustment	\$232
	in the state of th

B. Fuel & Power:

This adjustment is based on Staff's analysis of the actual test period electric invoices.

Service From	Service To	Amount Billed
16-Dec-94	19-Jan-95	\$122
16-Feb-94	15-Feb-94	94
18-Mar-94	16-Mar-94	82
18-Apr-94	18-Apr-94	113
16-May-94	13-May-94	108
15-Jun-94	16-Jun-94	112
14-Jul-94	15-Jul-94	109
16-Aug-94	16-Aug-94	90
15-Sep-94	15-Sep-94	89
14-Oct-94	17-Oct-94	158
14-Nov-94	16-Nov-94	85
14-Dec-94	13-Dec-94	135
Actual Fuel & Power B	ynansa	\$1,295
Less: Reported Fuel		1,410
Staff's Recommended A	djustment	(\$115)
	•	

C. Routine Maintenance Service Fee:

This adjustment reflects the current routine maintenance fee.

Current Monthly Maintenance Fee Multiplied by: 12 - Months	\$195 12
·	
Annual Routine Maintenance Fee	\$2.340
Less: Reported Routine Maintenance Fee	2,730
Staff's Recommended Adjustment	(\$390)

Description

Amount

(\$547)

D. Maint. Pumping System:

Date

Staff's Recommended Adjustment

The following capital expenditures have been removed and depreciated.

Vendor

	D 11/0	401.00	Doddiption	/ III G G 11
	15-Mar-94	Terry Coker	Grinder Pump	(\$995)
	08-Apr-94	Terry Coker	Chlorine Pump	(225)
	31-Dec-94	Accounts Payable	Motor for Blower	(425)
	31-080-84	Accounts Fayable	MOTOL IOI BIOME!	(420)
	Staff's Recommended	Adjustment		(\$1,645)
E.	Insurance:			
	This adjustment reflec	ts the current insuran	ce premiums paid by Downstream.	
	Commercial Gen. L	lab.: Policy # MG128	359L, Period 7/12/94 - 7/12/95	\$211
		y # MG127220, Perio		575
		,		
	Current Insurance F	Premiums		\$786
	Less: Reported Ins			1,333
	reset Mahotted title	urance remiums		1,333

F. Depreciation:

This adjustment reflects depreciating test-period capital expenditures over the appropriate depreciable lives.

	Amount	Depreciable Lives	Depreciation Expense
Grinder Pump	\$995	10	\$100
Labor Cost for Grinder Pump	\$100	10	10
Chlorine Pump	\$225	10	23
Motor for Blower	\$425	10	43
Staff's Recommended Adjustment			\$178

ATTACHMENT C STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 95-240 CASH FLOW CALCULATION

	Staff's Pro Forma Operations	Downstream's Requested Increase	Staff's Operations with Downstream's Increase
Operating Revenue Less: Operating Expenses	\$6,132	\$1,227	\$7,359
	12,820	0	12,820
Net Operating Income	(\$6,688)	\$1,227	(\$5,461)
Add: Depreciation Expense	4,897	0	4,897
Net Cash Flow	(\$1,791)	\$1,227	(\$564)

ATTACHMENT D STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 95-240 REVENUE REQUIREMENT DETERMINATION

Requirement to Break-Even: Operating Expenses Add: Depreciation Expense	\$12,820 4,897
Revenue Requirement Less: Normalized Operating Revenue	\$7,923 6,132
Required Increase for Downstream to Break-Even	\$1,791
Increase Downstream Could Justify: Operating Expenses Divided by: Recommended Operating Ratio	\$12,820 88%
Subtotal Less: Operating Expenses	\$14,568 12,820
Margin After Income Taxes Multiplied by: Gross-up Factor	\$1,748 1.225490
Margin Before Income Taxes Add: Operating Expenses	\$2,142 12,820
Revenue Requirement Less: Normalized Operating Revenue	\$14,962 6,132
Increase Downstream Could Justify	\$8,830

ATTACHMENT E STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 95-240

Staff recommends:
Monthly rate of \$27.51 per single-family residence.

^{\$7,923 + 24} Customers + 12 Months = \$27.51.

ATTACHMENT F STAFF REPORT CASE NO. 95-240 THE RATE DOWNSTREAM COULD JUSTIFY BASED ON ADJUSTED OPERATIONS AND AN 88 PERCENT OPERATING RATIO

Monthly rate of \$51.952 per single-family residence.

 $^{^2}$ \$14,962 + 24 Customers + 12 Months = \$51.95.